

Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel

Jersey Development Company Sub-Panel

PUBLIC SESSION

Record of Meeting

Date: 29th October 2009

Meeting 14

Present	Deputy C.H. Egré, Chairman Deputy D.J. de Sousa, Vice-Chairman Senator S.C. Ferguson Connétable S.A. Yates Deputy T.A. Vallois
Apologies	
Absent	
In attendance	Mr. W. Millow, Scrutiny Officer

Ref Back	Agenda matter	Action
513/21(8)	<p>1. Records of Meetings</p> <p>The Sub-Panel approved the records of its meetings held on the following dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 27th July 2009 • 6th August 2009 • 7th August 2009 • 17th August 2009 • 20th August 2009 • 25th August 2009 • 17th September 2009 • 30th September 2009 	
513/21(8)	<p>2. Meeting with Chief Minister</p> <p>The Sub-Panel noted receipt of the record taken of a meeting held on 2nd October 2009 between the Chairman and Senator T.A. Le Sueur, Chief Minister.</p>	
513/21(8)	<p>3. Ministerial Response</p> <p>The Sub-Panel considered the Ministerial Response of the Chief Minister to <i>Jersey Development Company</i> (SR9/2009) that had been presented to the States on 20th October 2009. It was noted that all of the Sub-Panel's recommendations had been accepted. However, it was agreed that the response to the recommendation that a review of the Waterfront Enterprise Board be undertaken before the proposed Jersey Development Company began operation did not go far enough. It was therefore agreed to advise the Chief Minister that the review should be undertaken before the debate on <i>Property and Infrastructure Regeneration - The States of Jersey Development Company Ltd</i> (P.79/2009) took place and that the debate should therefore be</p>	

	<p>deferred.</p> <p>The Sub-Panel was advised that, at the briefing provided by the Executive on 22nd October 2009, it had been proposed that the review would be completed by the end of the year (though subsequent to the debate on the proposition). The Sub-Panel considered whether its demand to have the review undertaken before the debate would go beyond the recommendations it had made in SR9/2009. It was noted that further information on the Waterfront Enterprise Board had become available since the report's presentation following written questions in the States Assembly from Senator B.E. Shenton.</p> <p>The Sub-Panel considered the beneficial advice it had received during the review from Mr. R. Law of Bruton Knowles. It was agreed to advise the Chief Minister that the Sub-Panel would have no objection if the Chief Minister wished to approach Mr. Law directly about the use of his expertise and services. It was noted that if this were to happen, it would not be feasible for Mr. Law to advise the Sub-Panel on any subsequent Scrutiny work that might become necessary.</p> <p>The Scrutiny Officer was requested to draft correspondence to give effect to the Sub-Panel's decisions.</p>	WM
513/21(8)	<p>4. Expert Advisor</p> <p>The Panel was advised of correspondence between the Scrutiny Office and Bruton Knowles in which it had been confirmed that invoices would be sent to the Office but that no expenditure would be incurred beyond the limits set in the contract and, subsequently, the further letter of instruction.</p>	
513/21(8)	<p>5. Future Meetings</p> <p>Noting that this would most likely represent the last meeting of the Sub-Panel, the Chairman extended his thanks to all Sub-Panel Members for their work on the review.</p>	

Initialed.....

Date.....11 Nov 09.....